arXiv:1408.1491v1 [math.RA] 7 Aug 2014

On the dimensions of commutative subalgebras and subgroups

M.V. Milentyeva*

We consider the functions that bound the dimensions of finite-dimensional associative or Lie algebras in terms of the dimensions of their commutative subalgebras. It is proved that these functions have quadratic growth. As a result, we also get similar estimates for the dimension of a Lie group with bounded dimensions of its abelian Lie subgroups.

Introduction

We begin with definitions of functions we shall consider.

Definition 1. Let A be an associative or Lie algebra. We say that A satisfies a condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$ if the dimensions of all commutative subalgebras of A are at most n.

Definition 2. For any integer n we denote by $l_K(n)$ ($a_K(n)$) the greatest integer h such that there exists a Lie algebra (an associative algebra) of dimension h over a field K such that this algebra satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$.

Definition 3. We denote by $a^1_K(n)$ the minimal function such that $\dim A \leqslant a^1_K(n)$ for any unital associative algebra A over a field K whose unital commutative subalgebras all have dimensions at most n.

Definition 4. Let K be the complex number field or the field of real numbers. For any integer n we denote by $g_K(n)$ the greatest integer h satisfying the following property: there exists a Lie group of dimension h over K such that the dimensions of all commutative Lie subgroups of this group are at most n.

Throughout this paper we assume that all algebras are finite dimensional.

Formally, it does not follow from the Definitions that this functions are well defined, since they are not necessary finite. Nevertheless, we shall show that they are finite in the case of the complex or real number field and in some other cases, and, moreover, they have quadratic growth. Namely the following theorem holds.

*This research is partially supported by RFBR (no. 05-01-00895.)

Main Theorem. *The functions we defined have quadratic growth. Namely the following inequalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{n^2+4n-5}{8} \leqslant l_{\mathbb{C}}(n) \leqslant \frac{n^2+17n}{2};\\
\frac{n^2+4n-5}{8} \leqslant g_{\mathbb{C}}(n) \leqslant \frac{n^2+17n}{2};\\
\frac{n^2+4n-5}{8} \leqslant a_{\mathbb{C}}(n) \leqslant \frac{n^2}{2}+5n;\\
2n^2+n \leqslant l_{\mathbb{R}}(n) \leqslant 4n^2+18n;\\
2n^2+n \leqslant g_{\mathbb{R}}(n) \leqslant 4n^2+18n;\\
\frac{n^2+4n-5}{8} \leqslant a_{\mathbb{R}}(n) \leqslant \frac{n^2}{2}+5n;
\end{aligned}$$

if K is a field of characteristic 0, then

$$a_K(n) \leqslant \frac{3n^2+n}{2},$$

$$a_K^1(n) \leqslant \frac{3n^2+n}{2};$$

if a field K is algebraically closed, then

$$a_K(n) \leqslant \frac{n^2}{2}+5n,$$

$$a_K^1(n) \leqslant \frac{n^2}{2}+5n;$$

for any field K

$$\begin{aligned}
l_K(n) \geqslant \frac{n^2+4n-5}{8},\\
a_K(n) \geqslant \frac{n^2+4n-5}{8},\\
a_K^1(n) \geqslant \frac{n^2+2n}{8}.
\end{aligned}$$

Explicit forms for the functions $l_K,\ a_K,\ a_K^1$, and g_K are not known. However, the Theorem rises the question about asymptotic behavior of these functions: find the limits $\frac{f(n)}{n^2}$ as $n \to \infty$.

This work continues the research started in A.Yu. Olshanskii's paper [1] and in author's one [2], where similar functions for finite p-groups and finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent groups, respectively, were studied. We use certain results of these papers to obtain the lower bounds. In fact, the results from [3] and [4] are already enough to establish the quadratic estimates even for nilpotent algebras and groups of class 2. To achieve the upper bounds, we will utilize standard properties of Lie groups and algebras.

1 Quadratic upper bounds

1.1 Complex Lie algebras

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra over a field K. Suppose that A satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$; then*

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} \leqslant \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{i} \lhd \mathfrak{g}$ be a maximal abelian ideal. Put $s = \dim \mathfrak{i}$. Denote by ρ the representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ that takes each $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ to the restriction of adjoint action of x to $\mathfrak{i}$ ($\rho(x) = \operatorname{ad} x|_{\mathfrak{i}}$). Let us show that $\operatorname{Ker}\rho = \mathfrak{i}$. Obviously, since $\mathfrak{i}$ is abelian, $\mathfrak{i} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\rho$. If $\mathfrak{i} \subsetneq \operatorname{Ker}\rho$, then $\operatorname{Ker}\rho/\mathfrak{i}$ is a nontrivial ideal of the nilpotent factor algebra $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$. Consequently the intersection of $\operatorname{Ker}\rho/\mathfrak{i}$ with the centre of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ is nontrivial. That is there exists $x \in \operatorname{Ker}\rho \setminus \mathfrak{i}$ such that $[x, y] \in \mathfrak{i}$ for any $y \in \mathfrak{g}$. And we see that ideal generated by x and $\mathfrak{i}$ is abelian and it contains $\mathfrak{i}$ as a proper subset contradicting our assumption that $\mathfrak{i}$ is maximal.

Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent, for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ an endomorphism $\operatorname{ad} x$ is also nilpotent. It follows that the factor algebra $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}l_s(K)$ whose elements all are nilpotent. By Engel's theorem, $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra that consists of strictly upper triangular matrices. Hence $\dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \leqslant \frac{s(s-1)}{2}$, so that $\dim \mathfrak{g} \leqslant \frac{s(s+1)}{2}$. □

Lemma 2. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic 0 such that $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$, then*

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} \leqslant \frac{n(n+3)}{2}.$$

Proof. We choose a maximal abelian ideal $\mathfrak{i} \lhd \mathfrak{g}$ and put $s = \dim \mathfrak{i}$ as in the proof of the previous Lemma. For each $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ put $\rho(x) = \operatorname{ad} x|_{\mathfrak{i}}$.

Evidently, $\mathfrak{i} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\rho$. If $\mathfrak{i} \neq \operatorname{Ker}\rho$, then, by Corollary of Lie's theorem (see Corollary 3 of Theorem I.5.1, [3]), the nontrivial ideal $\operatorname{Ker}\rho/\mathfrak{i} \lhd \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ contains a one-dimensional ideal $\mathfrak{j}/\mathfrak{i}$. But then $\mathfrak{j}$ is an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ and it is greater than $\mathfrak{i}$. We have a contradiction.

Therefore $\operatorname{Ker}\rho = \mathfrak{i}$ and $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i}$ is isomorphic to a solvable subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}l_s(K)$. By Lie's theorem, all elements of this subalgebra can be simultaneously represented by upper triangular matrices. Whence $\dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{i} \leqslant \frac{s(s+1)}{2}$, so that $\dim \mathfrak{g} \leqslant \frac{s(s+3)}{2}$. □

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra satisfying the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$. Then*

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} \leqslant 7n. \tag{1}$$

Proof. According to the classification (see Theorems 4.2.13, 4.3.1 and 4.3.3, [4]), there are the following types of complex simple Lie algebras (see the first table row):

Type of $\mathfrak{g}$	E_6	E_7	E_8	F_4	G_2	A_l ($l \geqslant 1$)	B_l ($l \geqslant 3$)	C_l ($l \geqslant 2$)	D_l ($l \geqslant 4$)
$\dim \mathfrak{g}$	78	133	248	52	14	$l^2 + 2l$	$2l^2 + l$	$2l^2 + l$	$2l^2 - l$
$\dim \mathfrak{h}$	16	27	36	9	3	$\left[\frac{(l+1)^2}{4}\right]$	$\frac{l(l-1)}{2} + 1$	$\frac{l(l+1)}{2}$	$\frac{l(l-1)}{2}$

Here index stands for the rank of the corresponding Lie algebra, that is the dimension of a Cartan subalgebra. The second table row contains the dimensions of the corresponding Lie algebras in accordance with Table 1, [4]. A.I. Malcev determined in [5] commutative subalgebras

of maximal dimensions for simple complex Lie algebras. According to this paper, $\mathfrak{g}$ contains a commutative subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of dimension from the third table row.

Since, by assumption, $\dim \mathfrak{h} \leqslant n$, it is clear that the inequality (1) holds for algebras of the first five types. It remains to check that it is true for infinite series:

Case 1: $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $A_l,\ l \geqslant 1,\ \dim \mathfrak{h} \geqslant 1$.

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} = (l+1)^2 - 1 \leqslant 4\left[\frac{(l+1)^2}{4}\right] + 3 - 1 = 4\dim \mathfrak{h} + 2 \leqslant 6\dim \mathfrak{h} < 7n.$$

Case 2: $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $B_l,\ l \geqslant 3,\ \dim \mathfrak{h} \geqslant l$.

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} = 2l^2 + l = 4\dim \mathfrak{h} + 3l - 4 < 7\dim \mathfrak{h} \leqslant 7n.$$

Case 3: $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $C_l,\ l \geqslant 2$.

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} = 2l^2 + l \leqslant 2l(l+1) = 4\dim \mathfrak{h} < 7n.$$

Case 4: $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $D_l,\ l \geqslant 4,\ \dim \mathfrak{h} \geqslant l$.

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} = 2l^2 - l = 4\dim \mathfrak{h} + l \leqslant 5\dim \mathfrak{h} < 7n. \ \square$$

Lemma 4. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex semisimple Lie algebra satisfying the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$, then*

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} \leqslant 7n.$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_m$ be the direct sum decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ into simple subalgebras. For $i = 1, \ldots, m$ we choose an abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_i \leqslant \mathfrak{g}_i$ of maximal dimension n_i. Then, by the previous Lemma, $\dim \mathfrak{g}_i \leqslant 7n_i$.

Further, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{h}_m$ is abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. By assumption, $\dim \mathfrak{h} = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \leqslant n$. Thus we get

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} = \sum_{i=1}^m \dim \mathfrak{g}_i \leqslant 7\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \leqslant 7n. \ \square$$

Theorem 1. *The function $l_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$ satisfies the following inequality:*

$$l_{\mathbb{C}}(n) \leqslant \frac{n^2 + 17n}{2}.$$

Proof. Consider a complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$. Let us show that $\dim \mathfrak{g} \leqslant \frac{n^2+17n}{2}$.

By Levi's theorem, $\mathfrak{g}$ is the semidirect product of a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ with the solvable radical $\mathfrak{r}$. Let n_1 and n_2 be the maximal dimensions of abelian subalgebras of $\mathfrak{r}$ and $\mathfrak{s}$ respectively. Then, by Lemma 2, $\dim \mathfrak{r} \leqslant \frac{n_1(n_1+3)}{2}$ and, by Lemma 4, $\dim \mathfrak{s} \leqslant 7n_2$.

By assumption, $n_1, n_2 \leqslant n$, so that

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{r} + \dim \mathfrak{s} \leqslant \frac{n_1(n_1+3)}{2} + 7n_2 \leqslant \frac{n^2 + 17n}{2}. \ \square$$

Corollary 1. *For the function $g_{\mathbb{C}}(n)$ the following inequality hols:*

$$g_{\mathbb{C}}(n) \leqslant \frac{n^2 + 17n}{2}.$$

Proof. Let G be a complex Lie group such that the dimensions of all abelian Lie subgroups of G are not greater than n, and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra associated with G. Consider an abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \leqslant \mathfrak{g}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{h}^M$ the minimal subalgebra such that $\mathfrak{h} \leqslant \mathfrak{h}^M$ and there exists a connected Lie subgroup $H \leqslant G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}^M$. By Theorem 1.4.3, [4], the commutator subalgebras of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^M$ are equal. Therefore $\mathfrak{h}^M$ is commutative and hence so is H. By assumption, $\dim \mathfrak{h} \leqslant \dim \mathfrak{h}^M = \dim H \leqslant n$. We see that $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$. Now, by Theorem 1, we get $\dim G = \dim \mathfrak{g} \leqslant \frac{n^2+17n}{2}$. $\square$

1.2 Real Lie algebras

Lemma 5. *The dimension of a real solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ that satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$ is not greater than $2n^2 + 3n$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{i} \lhd \mathfrak{g}$ be a maximal ideal such that $\mathfrak{i}$ is commutative or $\mathfrak{i}$ is a sum of non-commutative ideals $\mathfrak{i}_k$ such that

(i) $[\mathfrak{i}_k, \mathfrak{i}_l] = 0$, for $k \neq l$, $k, l = 1, \ldots, m$;

(ii) $\mathfrak{i}_k \cap \mathfrak{i}_l = \mathfrak{c}$, for $k \neq l$, $k, l = 1, \ldots, m$, where $\mathfrak{c}$ is a central ideal of $\mathfrak{i}$;

(iii) $\dim \mathfrak{i}_k/\mathfrak{c} = 2$, for $k = 1, \ldots, m$.

If $\mathfrak{i}$ is commutative put $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{i}$ and $m = 0$.

For each $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ put $\rho(x) = \operatorname{ad} x|_{\mathfrak{i}}$. Let us show that $\operatorname{Ker} \rho = \mathfrak{c}$.

Since all ideals $\mathfrak{i}_k$ are non-commutative, it follows from (iii) that $\mathfrak{c}$ is a centre of each $\mathfrak{i}_k$. Hence $\operatorname{Ker} \rho \cap \mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{c}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{c} \subsetneq \operatorname{Ker} \rho$. Then $\operatorname{Ker} \rho/\mathfrak{c}$ contains a one- or two-dimensional ideal $\mathfrak{j}/\mathfrak{c}$ of the real solvable factor-algebra $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{c}$ (see Corollary 4 of Theorem I.5.1, [3]). If $\mathfrak{j}$ is commutative put $\mathfrak{c}' = \mathfrak{j}$, $\mathfrak{i}'_k = \mathfrak{i}_k + \mathfrak{j}$, $k = 1, \ldots, m$, and $\mathfrak{i}' = \mathfrak{i}'_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{i}'_m$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{i}'$ is greater than $\mathfrak{i}$ and that $\mathfrak{i}'$ satisfies all the conditions we need. If $\mathfrak{j}$ is not commutative, then, since $\mathfrak{c}$ belongs to the centre of $\mathfrak{j}$, $\dim \mathfrak{j}/\mathfrak{c} = 2$. Putting $\mathfrak{i}_{m+1} = \mathfrak{j}$ and $\mathfrak{i}' = \mathfrak{i} + \mathfrak{i}_{m+1}$, we get the ideal $\mathfrak{i}'$ such that $\mathfrak{i} \subsetneq \mathfrak{i}'$ and $\mathfrak{i}'$ satisfies the same conditions again. We have a contradiction.

Thus $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{c}$ is naturally embedded as a solvable subalgebra into $\mathfrak{g}l_s(\mathbb{R})$ where $s = \dim \mathfrak{i}$. Since the dimension of any irreducible representation of a solvable real Lie algebra is at most 2, we can choose a basis of $\mathfrak{i}$ such that all elements of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{c}$ are represented in this basis by "almost triangular" matrices, that is these matrices have arbitrary 1×1 or 2×2 submatrices on the main diagonal and zeros only below the diagonal. It follows from this that $\dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{c} \leqslant \frac{s(s+2)}{2}$.

Now notice that $\mathfrak{i}$ contains a "large" commutative subalgebra. Indeed, by condition (i), for any k the set $\mathfrak{i}_k \cap \oplus_{l \neq k} \mathfrak{i}_l$ belongs to the centre of $\mathfrak{i}_k$ and hence this set coincides with $\mathfrak{c}$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{i}/\mathfrak{c}$ is a direct sum of $\mathfrak{i}_1/\mathfrak{c}, \ldots, \mathfrak{i}_m/\mathfrak{c}$ and $\dim \mathfrak{i} = 2m + \dim \mathfrak{c}$. For $k = 1, \ldots, m$ we choose $x_k \in \mathfrak{i}_k \setminus \mathfrak{c}$. Then the subspace spanned by $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ is abelian subalgebra of dimension $t = m + \dim \mathfrak{c} \geqslant \frac{s}{2}$. We get

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} \leqslant \frac{s(s+2)}{2} + \dim \mathfrak{c} \leqslant t(2t+2) + t = 2t^2 + 3t. \ \square$$

Lemma 6. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real simple Lie algebra satisfying the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$, then*

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} \leqslant 2n^2 + 15n.$$

Proof. We denote by $\mathfrak{u}^{\mathbb{R}}$ the realification of a complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}$.

The Theorem 5.1.1, [4] says that a real Lie algebra is simple if and only if either it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{u}^{\mathbb{R}}$ for some complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}$ or it is a real form of a complex simple Lie algebra.

First we assume that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}^{\mathbb{R}}$ for some complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}$. It follows from Lemma 3 that there exists an abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \leqslant \mathfrak{u}$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{u} \leqslant 7 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h}$. Then $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and hence, since $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g} = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{u}$ $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{R}} = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h}$, in this case the Lemma is true.

Suppose now that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real form of a complex simple algebra $\mathfrak{u}$. According to the Exercise 5.4.9, [4], there exists a commutative subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \leqslant \mathfrak{g}$ such that the complexification $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{C})$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{u}$. In particular, the real dimension of $\mathfrak{h}$ equals the rank of $\mathfrak{u}$. Now, comparing the ranks and the dimensions of the simple complex Lie algebras from the Table, we can obtain easily the inequality we need. □

Lemma 7. *The dimension of a real semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ that satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$ is majorized by $2n^2 + 15n$.*

The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 4. It is enough to note that the sum of positive numbers squared is majorized by the square of the sum of the numbers. □

Theorem 2. *For the function $l_{\mathbb{R}}(n)$ the following relation holds:*

$$l_{\mathbb{R}}(n) \leqslant 4n^2 + 18n.$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. The only difference is in that we use Lemmas 2 and 4 instead of Lemmas 5 and 7. □

Corollary 2. *The function $g_{\mathbb{R}}(n)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$g_{\mathbb{R}}(n) \leqslant 4n^2 + 18n.$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Corollary 1. □

1.3 Associative algebras

Lemma 8. *If A is a nilpotent associative algebra over an arbitrary field such that A satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$, then*

$$\dim A \leqslant \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

Proof. We can consider A as a nilpotent Lie algebra with the commutator $[x, y] = xy - yx$ as product. Let $B \leqslant A$ be a maximal abelian Lie subalgebra. For any $x, y, z \in B$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} xy = yx, &\text{ since } [x, y] = 0; \\ [xy, z] = 0, &\text{ since } (xy)z = xzy = z(xy). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since B is maximal, $xy \in B$. Consequently B is commutative associative subalgebra of A and, by assumption, $\dim B \leqslant n$. Now we can use Lemma 1 to conclude the proof. □

Lemma 9. *The dimension of a semisimple associative algebra that satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$ is not greater than n^2.*

Proof. If A is a simple algebra over a field K, then, by Wedderburn's theorem, it is isomorphic to a matrix algebra $M_r(D)$ for some division algebra D and positive integer r.

Let L be the centre of D, and let F be a maximal subfield of D. By Theorem 4.2.2, [6], we have $[D : F] = [F : L]$. Therefore, observing $K \subset L$, we get

$$[D : K] = [D : F][F : K] = [F : L][F : K] \leqslant [F : K]^2.$$

All diagonal matrices of $M_r(D)$ with entries in F form a commutative subalgebra of dimension $s = r[F : K]$. It follows from this the estimate we need:

$$\dim A = r^2[D : K] \leqslant r^2[F : K]^2 = s^2.$$

So, we proved the Lemma in the case of a simple algebra A. Since any semisimple algebra is a direct sum of simple ones, the Lemma is also true for any such an algebra. □

Lemma 10. *Suppose K is a field satisfying one of the following conditions:*

(i) *the algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ is a finite extension of K and $c = \max\{[\bar{K} : K], \frac{9}{2}\}$;*

(ii) *K is finite and $c = \frac{9}{2}$.*

If a semisimple associative algebra over K satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$, then its dimension is majorized by cn.

Proof. First suppose that A is a simple algebra. By Wedderburn's theorem, A is isomorphic to a matrix algebra $M_r(D)$ for some division algebra D and positive integer r.

As before, let L be the centre of D, and let F be a maximal subfield of D. By Theorem 4.2.2, [6], $[D : F] = [F : L]$. If $\bar{K}$ is a finite extension of K, then, since $K \subset L \subset F \subset \bar{K}$, we have

$$[D : F] \leqslant c.$$

If K is finite, then D is also finite, so that $[D : F] = 1 < c$, since any finite division algebra is commutative (see Section VI.3, [7]).

Let $r = 1$. Then $A = D$ contains the commutative subalgebra $B = F$. We obtain $\dim A = [D : F][F : K] \leqslant c \dim B$.

Further, let $r = 2k$. Consider the abelian subalgebra $B \subset M_r(D)$, consisting of matrices whose only nonzero elements lie in a $k \times k$ submatrix in the upper right-hand corner. We have $\dim B = k^2[D : K]$, and

$$\dim A = 4k^2[D : K] \leqslant c \dim B.$$

Similarly, if $m = 2k+1,\ k \geqslant 1$, the commutative subalgebra B consisting of matrices whose only nonzero entries belongs to a $k \times (k + 1)$ submatrix in the upper right-hand corner has dimension $k(k + 1)[D : K] \geqslant 2[D : K]$. At the same time,

$$\dim A = (2k + 1)^2[D : K] = (4k(k + 1) + 1)[D : K] \leqslant \frac{9 \dim B}{2} \leqslant c \dim B.$$

Since any semisimple algebra is a direct sum of simple ones, the general case follows from the previous arguments. □

Theorem 3. *Let K be an algebraically closed field or a field of characteristic 0, then*

$$a_K(n) \leqslant \frac{3n^2 + n}{2}.$$

If, in addition, $c = max\{[\bar{K} : K], \frac{9}{2}\} < \infty$, *then*

$$a_K(n) \leqslant \frac{n^2 + (2c+1)n}{2}.$$

In particular, in the case of a real or complex field we have

$$a_{\mathbb{R}}(n), a_{\mathbb{C}}(n) \leqslant \frac{n^2}{2} + 5n.$$

Proof. Let A be an algebra over K and let R be its Jacobson radical. The algebra A/R is semisimple. If it is separable, the Wedderburn-Malcev theorem asserts that A is isomorphic to the semidirect sum of A/R and R. Note that since K is algebraically closed or has characteristic 0, A/R is separable. Thus the first inequality follows from Lemmas 8 and 9 and the second one follows from Lemmas 8 and 10. □

Theorem 3′. *Let K be an algebraically closed field or a field of characteristic 0, then*

$$a^1_K(n) \leqslant \frac{3n^2 + n}{2}.$$

If, at the same time, $c = max\{[\bar{K} : K], \frac{9}{2}\} < \infty$, *then*

$$a^1_K(n) \leqslant \frac{n^2 + (2c+1)n}{2}.$$

Proof. Any maximal commutative subalgebra of a unital algebra is unital. Consequently if all commutative unital subalgebras of a unital algebra have dimensions at most n, then the algebra satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$. Hence this Theorem follows from the previous one. □

2 Quadratic lower bounds

2.1 Lie algebras over an arbitrary field

Theorem 4. *For any field K the following inequality holds:*

$$l_K(n) \geqslant \frac{n^2 + 4n - 5}{8}.$$

In order to prove the Theorem, for each positive integer s we shall construct a nilpotent Lie algebra of class 2 and of dimension at least $\frac{s^2+4s-5}{8}$ such that any abelian subalgebra has dimension at most s. Here it is suitable to introduce special functions for nilpotent groups and algebras of class 2 that are analogous to the functions we defined before.

Definition 5. For any integer n we denote by $l^n_K(n)$ ($a^n_K(n)$ and $g^n_K(n)$) the greatest integer h such that there exists a nilpotent Lie algebra (an associative algebra and a Lie group respectively) of class 2 and of dimension h such that the dimensions of all commutative subalgebras (Lie subgroups) of this algebra (Lie group) are not greater than n.

We shall prove the following statement, which is stronger than the Theorem 4.

Theorem 4′. *For any field K we have the following estimate:*

$$l_K^n(n) \geqslant \frac{n^2+4n-5}{8}.$$

In the case of finite field K the construction of examples of algebras is analogous to the construction used by Ol'shanskii [1] for finite p-groups. And in the infinite case the way of construction is parallel to the way used in [2] for finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent groups.

Lemma 11. *Suppose that the positive integers k, t, and n satisfy the inequality*

$$2n < t(k-1),$$

and let V be an n-dimensional vector space over a field K. Then there exists a t-tuple $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_t\}$ of skew-symmetric bilinear forms on V such that no k-dimensional subspace is simultaneously isotropic for all of the forms $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_t$.

Proof. This Lemma was proved in [1] for a prime field K. But the same proof obviously shows that it is true if K is an arbitrary finite filed. On the other hand, in the case of infinite field the statement of the Lemma is very close to the Main Lemma of [2]. (Here the inequality is stronger.) And it is enough to modify the proof of that Lemma.

Indeed, let $\bar{K}$ be the algebraic closure of K. Put $\bar{V} = V_K \otimes \bar{K}$. Note that the arguments used in the Main Lemma of [2] hold true if the field of complex numbers is replaced by an arbitrary closed field. Arguing this way, it can be proved that the set of all t-tuples $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_t\}$ of skew-symmetric bilinear forms on $\bar{V}$ such that there exists a vector subspace of dimension k that is simultaneously isotropic for all φ_i is closed in Zariski topology. That is the set is given by a system of equations that are polynomial in entries of matrices $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_t$:

$$G_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, r.$$

Moreover, there is i such that $G_i \not\equiv 0$. Therefore, since K is infinite, the set does not contain all t-tuples Φ with elements in K. This concludes the proof. □

Let U and V be vector spaces over K having bases $f_1, \ldots, f_t$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ respectively, and let $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_t\}$ be a t-tuple of skew-symmetric bilinear forms on V. Consider the direct sum $\mathfrak{g}_\Phi$ of U and V. Define the product of two elements $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_\Phi$ by

$$[x, y] = \varphi_1(v_1, v_2) f_1 + \cdots + \varphi_t(v_1, v_2) f_t, \tag{2}$$

where $x = u_1 + v_1$, $y = u_2 + v_2$ for some $u_1, u_2 \in U$, $v_1, v_2 \in V$. From (2) it follows that $\mathfrak{g}_\Phi$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra of class 2 and that U is a central t-dimensional subalgebra.

Lemma 12. *Suppose that the positive integers k, t, and n satisfy the inequality $2n < t(k-1)$, and that a t-tuple $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_t\}$ of skew-symmetric bilinear forms on an n-dimensional vector space V is chosen in accordance with Lemma 11. Then the corresponding Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\Phi$ has no abelian subalgebras of dimension greater than $k + t - 1$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be an abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_\Phi$. Then from (2) it follows that the subspace $\mathfrak{h}/(h \cap U) \subset V$ is isotropic for $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_t$. Therefore, by Lemma 11,

$$\dim \mathfrak{h}/(h \cap U) \leqslant k - 1.$$

Thus,

$$\dim \mathfrak{h} \leqslant k - 1 + \dim U = k + t - 1. \square$$

Proof of Theorem 4′. Let s be even. Put $t = \frac{s}{2} + 1$, $k = \frac{s}{2}$, $n = \left[\frac{s^2-5}{8}\right]$. Then $2n < t(k-1)$ and, by Lemma 12, there exists a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\Phi$ all of whose abelian subalgebras have dimensions at most s and such that the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}_\Phi$ is equal to

$$n + t = \left[\frac{s^2-5}{8}\right] + \frac{s}{2} + 1 \geqslant \frac{s^2 + 4s - 4}{8}.$$

Similarly, if s is odd, putting $t = k = \frac{s+1}{2}$, $n = \left[\frac{s^2-2}{8}\right]$, we obtain that the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}_\Phi$ equals

$$n + t = \left[\frac{s^2-2}{8}\right] + \frac{s+1}{2} \geqslant \frac{s^2 + 4s - 5}{8}.$$

Hence $f(s) \geqslant \frac{s^2+4s-5}{8}$ and the Theorem is proved. $\square$

2.2 Lower bounds for the functions $l_{\mathbb{R}}(n)$, $a_K(n)$, and $g_K(n)$

Theorem 5. *The function $l_{\mathbb{R}}(n)$ satisfies the relation*

$$l_{\mathbb{R}}(n) \geqslant 2n^2 + n. \tag{3}$$

Proof. For any positive integer n consider the real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ of real skew-symmetric matrices. According to the Example of the Subsection 4.1.3, [4], it is compact real form of complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ of type B_n. Let $\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be a Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_n$ into the direct sum of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{f}$ and the subspace $\mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}_n$ is compact, the decomposition is trivial, that is $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{g}_n$ and $\mathfrak{p} = 0$ (see Exercise 5.3.6, [4]). Further, consider a maximal commutative subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{f}$. Its complexification $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{C})$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{f}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{g}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ (see Exercise 5.3.27, [4]). Thus we obtain that the dimension of any maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_n$ is equal to the rank of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, which equals n. At the same time, $\dim \mathfrak{g}_n = 2n^2 + n$. Therefore the inequality 3 is proved. $\square$

Remark 1. We can get the same estimate if instead of $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ we consider the compact real form $\mathfrak{sp}_n = \{ \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ -\bar{Y} & \bar{X} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid X, Y \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}),\ X = -\bar{X}^\top,\ Y = Y^\top \}$ of the complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) = \{ \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & -X^\top \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}),\ Y = -\bar{Y}^\top,\ Z = Z^\top \}$ of type C_n.

Theorem 6. *If a field K has characteristic other than 2, then*

$$a_K^n(n) \geqslant \frac{n^2 + 4n - 5}{8}.$$

Proof. The proof of the Theorem 4′ shows that for any integer n there exists a nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_n$ of class 2 and of dimension at least $\frac{n^2+4n-5}{8}$ over K such that any abelian subalgebra has dimension at most n. Since $\mathfrak{g}_n$ is nilpotent of class 2, the product of any three elements of $\mathfrak{g}_n$ equals 0. Hence $\mathfrak{g}_n$ is a nilpotent associative algebra of class two with respect to the same operations. We note that, since the product in a Lie algebra is anti-symmetric and K has characteristic other than 2, commutative associative subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_n$ are exactly abelian Lie subalgebras. Therefore the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_n$ serve as examples of associative class two nilpotent algebras of "large" dimensions with "small" dimensions of commutative subalgebras. □

Remark 2. Theorem 6 can be proved without using the result about Lie algebras, but applying similar arguments. Then the assumption that the field has characteristic other than 2 is not necessary. Indeed, let $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_t\}$ be a t-tuple of arbitrary bilinear forms on a vector space V of dimension n, and let A_Φ be the direct sum of vector spaces U and V. Define the product of two elements of A_Φ by

$$(u_1 + v_1) \cdot (u_2 + v_2) = \varphi_1(v_1, v_2)f_1 + \cdots + \varphi_t(v_1, v_2)f_t,$$

where $u_1, u_2 \in U,\ v_1, v_2 \in V$, and $f_1, \ldots, f_t$ is a basis of U. Then A_Φ is a nilpotent associative algebra of class 2. And it follows from this formula that a subalgebra B of A_Φ is commutative if and only if the restrictions of $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_t$ to $B/(B \cap U) \subset V$ are symmetric. Further, arguing as in Lemma 11, it can be shown that if the integers $k,\ t$ and n satisfies the same inequality, then there exists a t-tuple $\Phi = \{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_t\}$ of bilinear forms on V such that their restrictions to any k-dimensional subspace are not simultaneously symmetric. The corresponding algebra A_Φ for this t-tuple has no commutative subalgebras of dimension greater than $k+t-1$. Finally, putting $k,\ t$ and n as in the proof of Theorem 4′, we get the same estimate.

Since, by definition, $a_K(n) \geqslant a^n_K(n)$, the lower bound for the function $a_K(n)$ from the Main Theorem follows from this Remark.

Theorem 6′. *For any field K the following holds:*

$$a^1_K(n) \geqslant \frac{n^2 + 2n}{8}. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Consider an associative algebra A. A is embedded in a unital associative algebra A_1 as follows. Let A_1 be the direct sum of A and a one-dimensional vector space spanned by a vector e, i.e. $A_1 = A \oplus Ke$. Extend the operation of product from A to A_1 according to the formula $xe = ex = x$ for $x \in A_1$ and by linearity. By definition, e is an identity element of A_1. Any maximal commutative subalgebra of A_1 has the form $B_1 = B \oplus Ke$ for some maximal commutative subalgebra $B \leqslant A$. Hence we have $\dim B_1 = \dim B + 1$ and $\dim A_1 = \dim A + 1$.

In other words, if there exists an associative algebra of dimension h satisfying the condition $\mathcal{A}(n-1)$, then there is a unital associative algebra of dimension $h+1$ whose unital commutative subalgebras all have dimension at most n. In our notations,

$$a^1_K(n) \geqslant a_K(n-1) + 1 \geqslant a^n_K(n-1) + 1, \quad \text{for } n \geqslant 2.$$

Using the previous Theorem and Remark 2, we get (4) for $n \geqslant 2$. Clearly, (4) is also true for $n = 1$. □

Theorem 7. *If K is a real or complex number field, then*

$$g_K(n) \geqslant g^n_K(n) \geqslant \frac{n^2 + 4n - 5}{8}.$$

Also, we have

$$g_{\mathbb{R}}(n) \geqslant 2n^2 + n.$$

Proof. The Theorem follows easily from Theorems 4 and 5 and from the following facts: given a complex or real Lie algebra, there exists a connected Lie group with this Lie algebra (see Theorem 6.2, [4]); a connected Lie group with a nilpotent Lie algebra is nilpotent of the same class; and commutative Lie subgroups correspond to commutative Lie subalgebras. □

3 On the nilpotent groups and algebras of class 2

Lemmas 1 and 8 show that the dimension of a nilpotent algebra that satisfies the condition $\mathcal{A}(n)$ is asymptotically bounded above by $\frac{n^2}{2}$. In fact, it turns out that the restrictions of the functions we study to nilpotent algebras and groups of class two still have quadratic growth. Moreover, upper bounds are asymptotically two times better, so that our estimates for the functions we defined in section 2 are quite precise.

Theorem 8. *If f is one of the functions l_K^n, a_K^n or g_K^n, then it satisfies the inequality*

$$\frac{n^2 + 4n - 5}{8} \leqslant f(n) \leqslant \frac{n^2}{4} + n.$$

Proof. The first inequality for the functions l_K^n, a_K^n and g_K^n is proved in Theorems 4′, 6 and 7 respectively. Let us show the second one.

Consider a nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of class 2. Let $\mathfrak{z}$ be the centre of $\mathfrak{g}$, $z_1, \dots, z_t$ a basis of $\mathfrak{z}$, and V a complementary subspace to $\mathfrak{z}$ of dimension m. Then the product of two elements $x = \bar{x} + \bar{\bar{x}}$ and $y = \bar{y} + \bar{\bar{y}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in V$ and $\bar{\bar{x}}, \bar{\bar{y}} \in \mathfrak{z}$ has the form

$$[x, y] = \varphi_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) z_1 + \dots + \varphi_t(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) z_t$$

for some skew-symmetric bilinear forms $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_t$ on V.

In this notation, x and y commute if and only if

$$\varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, t.$$

Hence, given a nonzero element $\bar{x}_1 \in V$, the set of all elements $\bar{y} \in V$ that commute with $\bar{x}_1$ is given by the system of t linear equations. Whence this set is a vector space of dimension at least $m - t$. Consequently if $m - t \geqslant 2$, then there is $\bar{x}_2 \in V$ such that $\bar{x}_1$ and $\bar{x}_2$ are linearly independent and $[\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2] = 0$.

Further, proceeding by induction, suppose one has already chosen $k-1$ linearly independent elements $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{k-1} \in V$ such that $[\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_j] = 0$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, k-1$. Then the set of all elements of V that commute with any $\bar{x}_i$ is given by the system of $(k-1)t$ linear equations

$$\varphi_i(\bar{x_j}, \bar{y}) = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, t,\ j = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

Hence this set has dimension at least $m - (k-1)t$. Now if $m - (k-1)t \geqslant k$, then there exist k linearly independent elements $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_k \in V$ that commute with each other. Thus $\mathfrak{g}$ has an abelian subalgebra of dimension $s = k + t$, which is generated by $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_k$ and $\mathfrak{z}$.

Choose maximal k satisfying this condition, that is such that $m-(k-1)t \geqslant k$ and $m-kt \leqslant k$. Then

$$\dim \mathfrak{g} = m + t \leqslant kt + k + t = (s - t)t + s \leqslant \frac{s^2}{4} + s.$$

Thus we proved the Theorem for $f = l_K^n$. If $f = a_K^n$, the Theorem follows from the previous arguments as Lemma 8 follows from Lemma 1. And if $f = g_K^n$, using the previous arguments and taking into account that a nilpotent Lie group of class 2 has a class two nilpotent Lie algebra, we get the Theorem just as we proved Corollary 1 using Theorem 1. □

Acknowledgment. The author would like to express her gratitude to Professor A.Yu. Olshanskii for suggesting the problem, his constant attention to this work, and his valuable advice and to A. Minasyan and I.V. Arzhantsev for helpful comments.

References

[1] **Olshanskii A.Yu.** *To the question on the number of generators and orders of abelian subgroups of finite p-groups.* Matem. Zametki vol.23(1978), N 3, 337-341 (in Russian). English translation: Math. Notes 23 (1978), no. 3-4, 183-185.

[2] **Milenteva M.V.** *On the torsion-free ranks of finitely generated nilpotent groups and of their abelian subgroups.* Journal of Group Theory, vol.7(2004), N 3, 403-408.

[3] **Bourbaki N.** *Lie groups and algebras.* Moscow, "Mir", 1976.

[4] **Vinberg E.B., Onishchik A.L.** *Lie groups and algebraic groups seminar* . Moscow, "URSS", 1995.

[5] **Malcev A.I.** *Commutative subalgebras of semi-simple Lie algebras.* Izvestia Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Matem., vol.9(1945), N 4, 291-300.

[6] **Herstein I.** *Non-commutative rings.* Moscow, "Mir", 1972.

[7] **Skornyakov L.A.** *Elements of general algebra.* Moscow, "Nauka", 1983.

Higher Algebra, Department of Mechanics nd Mathematics, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Leninskie Gory, GSP-2, Moscow; 119992.

E-mail: mariamil@yandex.ru